

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

MISSISSIPPI MEDICAL PROFESSIONALS  
FOR INFORMED CONSENT,  
6646 Alii Place  
Diamondhead, Mississippi 39525

-and-

INFORMED CONSENT ACTION NETWORK,  
2025 Guadalupe Street, Suite 260  
Austin, Texas 78705

Plaintiffs,

-against-

Civil Action No. 1:25cv135 HSO-BWR

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND  
PREVENTION,  
200 Independence Avenue S.W.  
Washington, DC 20201

-and-

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,  
200 Independence Avenue S.W.  
Washington, DC 20201

Defendants.

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs Mississippi Medical Professionals for Informed Consent (“**MMPFIC**”) and Informed Consent Action Network (“**ICAN**”, collectively “**Plaintiffs**”) bring this action against defendants Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (“**CDC**”) and the United States Department of Health and Human Services (“**HHS**”) (collectively “**Defendants**”) to compel compliance with the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 (“**FOIA**”). As grounds therefore, Plaintiffs allege as follows:

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant 5 U.S.C. § 522(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

### **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff MMPFIC is a not-for-profit organization formed and existing under the laws of the state of Mississippi, with its principal office located at 6646 Alii Place, Diamondhead, Mississippi 39525. Plaintiff is in good standing with the Mississippi Secretary of State.

4. Plaintiff ICAN is a not-for-profit organization formed and existing under the laws of the state of Texas, with its principal office located at 2025 Guadalupe Street, Suite 260, Austin, Texas 78705. Plaintiff is in good standing with the Texas Secretary of State.

5. Defendant CDC is an agency within the Executive Branch of the United States Government, organized within HHS. CDC is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f) and has possession, custody, and control of records to which Plaintiff seeks access.

6. Defendant HHS is an agency within the Executive Branch of the United States Government. HHS is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f) and has possession, custody, and control of records to which Plaintiff seeks access.

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

7. On April 9, 2025, Plaintiffs sent a FOIA request to CDC seeking copies of the following records:

All data in the Vaccine Safety Datalink database. Alternatively, provide access to the database.

(Exhibit 1.)

8. Defendant CDC acknowledged Plaintiffs' FOIA request on April 9, 2025, and the request was assigned 25-01124-FOIA. (**Exhibit 2.**)

9. As of the date of this Complaint, Defendants have failed to: (i) determine whether to comply with the request as required under 5 U.S.C. § 552(6)(A)(i); (ii) notify Plaintiffs of any such determination or the reasons therefor as required under § 552(6)(A)(i)(I); (iii) advise Plaintiffs of the right to appeal any adverse determination not to comply with the request, as required under § 552(6)(A)(i)(III)(aa); or (iv) produce the requested records or otherwise demonstrate that the requested records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to § 552(b).

**COUNT I**  
**FAILURE TO MAKE DETERMINATION BY REQUIRED DEADLINE**  
**(VIOLATION OF FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)**

10. Plaintiffs reallege the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.

11. Defendants are in violation of FOIA.

12. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i) requires Defendants to make a final determination on Plaintiff's request no later than twenty (20) business days from acknowledgement of the request. Because Defendants failed to make a final determination on Plaintiffs' request within the time limits set by FOIA, under § 552(6)(C)(i) Plaintiffs are deemed to have exhausted their administrative appeal remedies.

13. Plaintiffs are being irreparably harmed by reason of Defendants' violation of FOIA and Plaintiffs will continue to be irreparably harmed unless Defendants are compelled to comply with the law.

14. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

**COUNT II**  
**FAILURE TO PROVIDE AN ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE**  
**(VIOLATION OF FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)**

15. Plaintiffs reallege the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.

16. Defendants are in violation of FOIA.

17. The agency never provided an estimated date on which the agency would complete its action on the request.

**COUNT III**  
**IMPROPER WITHHOLDING OF INFORMATION AND DATA**  
**(VIOLATION OF FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)**

18. Plaintiffs reallege the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.

19. Defendants have failed to establish that they adequately applied an exemption to the withheld information and data.

20. Defendants are in violation of FOIA.

**COUNT IV**  
**FAILURE TO ESTABLISH AN ADEQUATE SEARCH**  
**(VIOLATION OF FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)**

21. Plaintiffs reallege the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.

22. Defendants have failed to establish that they adequately searched for responsive records, as required by 5 U.S.C. § 552 (a)(3)(C) and (D).

23. Defendants are in violation of FOIA.

**COUNT V**  
**ENTITLEMENT TO WAIVER OF SEARCH AND DUPLICATION FEES**

24. Plaintiffs reallege the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.

25. Defendants are in violation of FOIA.

26. Plaintiffs sought a waiver of search and duplication fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).

27. Plaintiffs are further entitled to a waiver of fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(viii) because Defendants failed, within 20 days, to produce the requested records and

otherwise failed to comply with the statutory requirements of 5 U.S.C. § 522 within the time limits set forth therein.

**REQUESTED RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully requests that the Court:

- a. Declare that Defendants' current and continued delay in processing Plaintiffs' FOIA request is unlawful under FOIA;
- b. Order Defendants to conduct searches for any and all records responsive to Plaintiffs' FOIA request and demonstrate that they employed search methods reasonably likely to lead to the discovery of records responsive to Plaintiffs' FOIA request;
- c. Order Defendants to produce, by a date certain, any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiffs' FOIA request and a *Vaughn* index of any responsive records withheld under any claimed exemption;
- d. Enjoin Defendants from continuing to withhold any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiffs' FOIA request;
- e. Maintain jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 552(a)(6)C(i) until Defendants comply with FOIA and all orders of this Court;
- f. Grant Plaintiffs an award of attorneys' fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E);
- g. Grant Plaintiffs a fee waiver pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(viii); and
- h. Grant Plaintiffs such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: May 12, 2025

SIRI & GLIMSTAD LLP

/s/ R. Scott Pietrowski

R. Scott Pietrowski  
Mississippi Bar No. 99387  
4780 I-55 North – Suite 100  
Jackson, Mississippi 39211  
Tel: (240) 732-6737  
[spietrowski@sirillp.com](mailto:spietrowski@sirillp.com)

Aaron Siri\*  
Elizabeth A. Brehm\*  
Mark Taylor\*  
745 Fifth Ave, Suite 500  
New York, New York 10151  
Tel: (240) 732-6737  
[aaron@sirillp.com](mailto:aaron@sirillp.com)  
[ebrehm@sirillp.com](mailto:ebrehm@sirillp.com)  
[mtaylor@sirillp.com](mailto:mtaylor@sirillp.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

*\*pro hac vice to be submitted*